# **FILED**

#### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**JAN 13 2006** 

## CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

#### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

WILLIE WEAVER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON LANCASTER STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 04-57008

D.C. No. CV-04-08314-CBM

**MEMORANDUM**\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Consuelo B. Marshall, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 9, 2006\*\*

Before: HUG, O'SCANNLAIN, and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Willie Weaver appeals pro se the district court's order denying his application to file his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action without

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

prepayment of the full filing fee. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review the district court's decision for abuse of discretion, *O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990) and we affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Weaver's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, as Weaver did not submit any of the statutorily required documents. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).

Weaver's motion for appointment of counsel is denied.

### AFFIRMED.